# THE JAMES WILLOUGHBY COLUMN 

This month James looks at Jack Mitchell's remarkable record for Charlie Johnston. Comparing his strike rate for the yard with other jockeys who have had more rides motivates the use of a simple but powerful technique called Split Half Reliability.

IT MAY be a bit of a mouthful, but Split Half Reliability allows statisticians to turn data achieved in the past into predictions about the future. The relevant
concept is the prior sample, a record of dummy wins and losses to add to a jockey's real statistics which puts all riders on an equal footing
And we can apply this same framework to other racing statistics, such as a jockey's record at a course and a trainer's recent form.
For many years, I have highlighted the underrated prowess of jockey Jack Mitchell. The method I use to rate jockeys is known as analysis of variance and isolates a rider's true contribution to inning by controlling for the quality of their mounts. Every year, Mitchell comes out well, and only Oisin Murphy ranked
above him in the most recent figures I published here.
Mitchell's talent was first recognised as a 16 -year-old amateur in 2005, but it is fair to say that his career since has been something of a grind. Many times, he could be found at one of he sport's outposts while big meetings were taking place elsewhere. Finally, in the last few years, he has been used more regularly by the top yards, particularly the Newmarket stable of Roger Varian.
Notwithstanding Mitchell's 16 winners from 85 rides for Varian in 2023, including a Royal Ascot success on Royal Champion, his most remarkable numbers have been posted on Charlie Johnston-trained horses. For the year to September 28 Mitchell has won 12 races from just 33 mounts, a 36 percent strike rate. While this rate of success is not sustainable in the long term, it does suggest there may be what statisticians call an interaction' between Mitchell's approach and the needs of Johnston-trained horses. The question is: what might the Mitchell-Johnston combination achieve in the longer term?

When this kind of question is presented to statisticians, a commonly used technique is Split Half Reliability. The idea is this: take every season of jockey-trainer records, such as Mitchell-Johnston 2023 or Frankie Dettori-John Gosden 2022, for instance, and randomly divide the data into halves. An example of some of these split halves for 2023 can be found in Table 1
This is how to understand Table 1. Joe Fanning had 45 winners from 281 rides for Charlie Johnston for the year to September 28. A random split of this data resulted in one bucket containing 21 wins from 140 rides at a 15 percent strike rate and another 24 wins from 141 rides at a 17 percent strike rate. The point of this exercise is to examine the stability of the strike rate statistic - look down the two shaded columns for a visual guide While Fanning's strike rate was similar in both buckets, Clifford Lee had two very different strike rates when his data for Karl Burke was randomly split.

Acomputer can perform billions of these random splits and compare the volatility of the two strike rates for a jockey-trainer combination within a season. Better still, the machine can learn what is known as a prior sample, a dummy record of wins and losses, to add to each half in order to make the best prediction about the other. The idea is that a large prior sample is required if the statistic in question is volatile, but only a small prior sample is needed if the statistic tends not to fluctuate.

The optimal prior sample for the average trainer turns out to be to add 5 wins and 43 rides to each jockey's record. This is a surprisingly small dummy. It suggests that a jockey-trainer strik rate tends to stabilise quickly.

The prior must be adjusted to match the trainer's overall strike

| Jockey | Trainer | Year | Wins1 | Rides1 | SR1 | Wins2 | Rides2 | SR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| David Allan | Tim Easterby GB | 2023 | 25 | 226 | 11 | 15 | 226 | 7 |
| Oisin Orr | Richard Fahey GB | 2023 | 23 | 163 | 14 | 25 | 163 | 15 |
| Joe Fanning | Charlie Johnston GB | 2023 | 21 | 140 | 15 | 24 | 141 | 17 |
| Connor Beasley | Michael Dods GB | 2023 | 9 | 133 | 7 | 13 | 135 | 10 |
| Andrew Mullen | Iain Jardine GB | 2023 | 7 | 124 | 6 | 12 | 124 | 10 |
| Tom Marquand | William Haggas GB | 2023 | 29 | 122 | 24 | 30 | 123 | 24 |
| Paul Mulrennan | Jim Goldie GB | 2023 | 19 | 114 | 17 | 17 | 115 | 15 |
| Oisin Murphy | Andrew Balding GB | 2023 | 22 | 114 | 19 | 21 | 115 | 18 |
| Clifford Lee | K R Burke GB | 2023 | 13 | 112 | 12 | 22 | 112 | 20 |
| Duran Fentiman | Tim Easterby GB | 2023 | 2 | 109 | 2 | 2 | 110 | 2 |

Table 1: The most common jockey-trainer records in Britain during 2023 from random split of the data

| Jockey | Prior wins | Prior rides | Actual wins | Actual rides | Actual SR | Predicted SR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joe Fanning | 6 | 43 | 45 | 281 | 16.0 | 15.7 |
| Franny Norton | 6 | 43 | 23 | 185 | 12.4 | 12.7 |
| Jason Hart | 6 | 43 | 13 | 88 | 14.8 | 14.5 |
| Jack Mitchell | 6 | 43 | 12 | 33 | 36.4 | 23.7 |
| Oliver Stammers | 6 | 43 | 7 | 46 | 15.2 | 14.6 |
| Andrea Atzeni | 6 | 43 | 5 | 39 | 12.8 | 13.4 |
| Richard Kingscote | 6 | 43 | 4 | 42 | 9.5 | 11.8 |
| Oisin Murphy | 6 | 43 | 4 | 27 | 14.8 | 14.3 |
| Rossa Ryan | 6 | 43 | 3 | 8 | 37.5 | 17.6 |
| Archie Young | 6 | 43 | 3 | 22 | 13.6 | 13.8 |
| Ben Curtis | 6 | 43 | 3 | 29 | 10.3 | 12.5 |
| William Buick | 6 | 43 | 3 | 26 | 11.5 | 13.0 |
| James Doyle | 6 | 43 | 3 | 18 | 16.7 | 14.8 |
| Andrew Mullen | 6 | 43 | 3 | 36 | 8.3 | 11.4 |

Table 2: Predicted future strike rates for jockeys with three or more winners for Charlie Johnston
ate, however, as an average rider will have more wins for a high strike-rate yard than a low one.
Charlie Johnston's strike rate so far in 2023 is 14 percent, compared with the strike rate described by the prior sample of 11.6 percent. So, instead of adding 5 wins and 43 rides to each of his jockeys, we need to add $5 \times 14.0 / 11.6=6$ wins.
Table 2 contains the predicted future strike rates for jockeys who have ridden for Charlie Johnston in 2023, based on their inseason data.

N Table 2, the jockey's actual strike rate, shown in the column second from the right, is regressed - moved towards - the yard's overall strike rate of 14 percent relative to the size of the sample. So, the predicted strike rate for Joe Fanning is not much different to his actual strike rate, since is sample size of 281 is large compared to the prior sample of 3 rides. By contrast, Rossa Ryan's actual strike rate of 37.5 percent is heavily regressed towards 14 percent because he has taken only 8 rides and there is less evidence to go on.
So it is that a profoundly mportant aspect of statistics is revealed: regression to the mean Any statistic which is remarkably Any statistic which is remarkably
high or low tends to become less remarkably high or low as time goes on. And the smaller the sample size, he more regression tends to take place. In other words, it is much asier for a trainer or jockey to have a high strike rate while involved with few runners.
In between the 281 rides of Joe Fanning and the 8 of Rossa Ryan is the sample size of 33 rides which Jack Mitchell has taken. Bearing in

| Statistic | Prior wins | Prior runs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jockey-Trainer SR | 5 | 43 |
| Trainer-Course SR | 6 | 50 |
| Trainer SR | 7 | 59 |
| Jockey-Course SR | 7 | 61 |
| Jockey last 14 days SR | 7 | 63 |
| Trainer-Going SR | 9 | 79 |
| Trainer-Sire SR | 10 | 83 |
| Trainer last 14 days SR | 10 | 85 |
| Jockey SR | 10 | 90 |
| Jockey-Going SR | 26 | 222 |
| Sire-Going SR | 31 | 266 |
| Sire SR | 71 | 611 | Table 3: Prior samples for some interesting

racing statistics
mind that the prior sample of 43 rides is relatively small, this is a decent amount of evidence to go on that Mitchell's future strike rate is likely to be higher than that of the yard in general.
Of course, all of this takes no account
of the SP of future mounts. It assumes of the SP of future mounts. It assumes that, for each jockey, their opportunities will remain the same in future as in the actual sample. But this uncertainty is already accounted for in the prior. In short, Mitchell's record is unusually good and point to him having either a natural approach which suits the ne Charle's hoses or being able to understand th ccordingly
Other interesting prior samples
Remembering that the larger the prio sample, the more a statistic tends to reflect hen fal ones, it or forss the mean. Table 3 provides p metrics. Again, to find the predicted strike rate in future, add the competitor's actual record to the prior sample.
The higher up the table, the more reliable the observed SR tends to be. Here are some interesting takeaways regarding these strike rates:

A trainer's record with a jockey and at a course is more stable than a trainer's record in general. This means that trainers tend to have course-related, and jockey-related efficiency which is different from their overall performance. By contrast, a trainer's record in the last 14 days is a less reliable guide to their future performance - in most cases, so-called 'trainer form' is an performans.

Similarly, jockeys tend to have course- and trainer-specific strike rates, and there is evidence for a 'hot-hand' effect - a jockey's record over the last 14 days is a better guide to their next performance level than their strike rate overall. This is a long-held belief of the Johnstons. Not surprisingly, jockeys tend not to have going-specific capabilities. In contrast to trainers and jockeys, a arge amount of evidence is required order to believe that a sire will have better or worse strike rate than verage. Interestingly, sires do have oing-related capabilities which is difficult to tease out of their observed strike rates but is clear from Split Hal Reliability. Sires also perform at ifferent levels for different trainers, which is again not surprising onsidering that more powerful trainers have access to the best-bred, best-looking and most expensive of the sire's progeny. ■

